

Current Status Regarding the Exploitation and Abuse of Elizabeth Eklund (July, 2012)

1) A request was made to the IRS, Criminal Investigation Division, Stoneham, MA the beginning of 2012 re: conversion, financial discrepancies, 1099 audits, etc.

I supplied a number of documents; and an investigator accepted access to additional electronic files. I have yet to receive input concerning his review.

2) Since at least the Fall, 2011, the MA AG's Office, Criminal Division has been provided information concerning conversion, theft, breach of fiduciary duty, wire fraud, mail fraud, etc.

This organization has been reluctant to consider the aforementioned exploitations against the elderly, especially if in guardianship, as criminal activity.

Senator Kerry's office was contacted in March, 2012; and it appears that an individual there is interfacing with the MA AG's office. I am awaiting input.

3) In April, 2012 I filed a complaint with the DA, Middlesex County, MA regarding theft, conversion, wire fraud, mail fraud, writing "hot" checks, breach of fiduciary duty, etc.

A representative called me on 5/1/12 and requested additional information; said information was provided on 5/8/12; and I have yet to hear anything further.

4) Mrs. Elizabeth Eklund died in March, 2010. The former guardian had my sister and me removed as co-executrices and replaced us with someone of her choosing with no experience as an administrator, apparently, so she could/can make unfettered demands on what remains of the estate. This situation is on-going. The estate has not been settled nor has the associated issues.

The MA Probate Court never reviewed the former guardian's invoices/billings and accountings, never evaluated the discrepancies between invoices/billings and accountings, never questioned the violation of SJC rules, never questioned the squandering of assets and laying waste to my mother's home, never questioned the over-medication antipsychotic drugs, etc.

The MA probate court has limited jurisdiction and cannot hear such actions sounding in breach of fiduciary duty or malfeasance by lawyers and/or guardians – which is fortunate for the citizens of MA as said court, based on repeated audits, has demonstrated that it is not fit to even conduct the business within its jurisdiction.

Audits of the MA Trial Courts, sought by such governors as Mr. Mitt Romney, demonstrate that said courts are "a system in name only, operating on automatic pilot...;" said system allows "the inadequacy of both pre-bench and recurrent training" to impact the quality of the bench and its performance. One would also note such internal "corruption" as that pertaining to such individuals as Mr. Buonomo and First Justice Edward J. Rockett.

Thus, based on the conduct of the MA Trial Courts, one would say that the probate court chose to ignore the exploitation and abuse to which my mother was subjected.